

Message

From: Shea, Valois [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS]
Sent: 3/16/2017 4:53:36 AM
To: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**
Subject: RE: Public comment for draft permits and aquifer exemption for uranium mining project in southwestern South Dakota

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Thank you for emailing me your comments on the draft UIC Dewey-Burdock permitting actions. I have added your email to the list of public comments received. I have also added you to my contact list to keep you informed on future EPA actions related to the site.

Here is the link to the EPA UIC program website that contains all the information in the Administrative Record, in case you do not already have it:

<https://www.epa.gov/uic/administrative-record-dewey-burdock-class-iii-and-class-v-injection-well-draft-area-permits>

The public comment period is in effect through May 19, 2017, in case you have any additional comments after reviewing this information.

Thank you!

Valois

Valois Shea
U.S. EPA Region 8
MailCode: 8WP-SUI
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
Fax: (303) 312-6741
Email: shea.valois@epa.gov

From: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 8:29 PM
To: Shea, Valois <Shea.Valois@epa.gov>
Subject: Public comment for draft permits and aquifer exemption for uranium mining project in southwestern South Dakota

I oppose both permits related to the proposed uranium recovery project in the southern Black Hills region in Custer and Fall River. Injection wells for disposing of waste fluids into aquifers is a bad idea be it trested or not. How much control or manpower is available to oversee that the injections do not include toxic chemicals being purged into our precious water supply. The companies that dispose this way have not been overly forthright in listing the chemicals that are used in their processes. Slow moving aquifers would not be able to cleanse toxics for decades or more endangering those that rely on the water for life.

Removing these aquifers from the safe drinking water act just exasperates the problem. We need more safe water not less. Sincerely,

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)